IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA WESTERN DIVISION No. 5:22-CV-164-D

| BRIAN KEITH JOHNSON, |) | |
|---|---|-------|
| Plaintiff, |) | |
| v. |) | ORDER |
| MARTIN O'MALLEY, Commissioner of Social Security, |) | |
| Defendant. |) | |

On February 15, 2024, Magistrate Judge Brian S. Meyers issued a Memorandum and Recommendation ("M&R") and recommended that this court deny plaintiff's motion for judgment on the pleadings [D.E. 24] and affirm defendant's final decision. See [D.E. 30]. Neither party objected to the M&R.

"The Federal Magistrates Act requires a district court to make a de novo determination of those portions of the magistrate judge's report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." <u>Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co.</u>, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (cleaned up); see 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Absent a timely objection, "a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." <u>Diamond</u>, 416 F.3d at 315 (quotation omitted).

The court has reviewed the M&R, the record, and the briefs. The court is satisfied that there is no clear error on the face of the record. Accordingly, the court adopts the conclusions in the M&R [D.E. 30].

In sum, the court ADOPTS the conclusions in the M&R [D.E. 30], DENIES plaintiff's motion for judgment on the pleadings [D.E. 24], AFFIRMS defendant's final decision, and DISMISSES this action. The clerk shall close the case.

SO ORDERED. This ____ day of March, 2024.

United States District Judge